

MINUTES

COUNCIL MEETING

Tuesday 26 May 2026
6:00 pm

City Hall
57 Little Malop Street, Geelong 3220

LIVE STREAMED ON THE CITY'S WEBSITE:

www.geelongaustralia.com.au/meetings

COUNCIL:

Cr S Kontelj (Kardinia Ward) - Mayor
Cr E Kontelj (Hamlyn Heights Ward) - Deputy Mayor
Cr R Nelson (Barrabool Hills Ward)
Cr E Sinclair (Charlemont Ward)
Cr M Cadwell (Cheetham Ward)
Cr E Wilkinson (Connewarre Ward)
Cr A Aitken (Corio Ward)
Cr A Katos (Deakin Ward)
Cr T Sullivan (Leopold Ward)
Cr R Story (Murradoc Ward)
Cr C Burson (You Yangs Ward)

1. PROCEDURAL MATTERS.....	4
1.1. Acknowledgement of Country	4
1.2. Apologies	4
1.3. Leaves of Absence	4
1.4. Declarations of Conflicts of Interest	4
1.5. Confirmation of Minutes	4
1.6. Public Question and Submission Time	5
2. REPORTS	16
2.1. Community Engagement Policy	16
2.2. Proposed Sale of Land - 11A McHarry Court, Corio	21
2.3. Proposed carriageway easement at 43-45 Fenwick St, Portarlington	27
2.4. Financial Performance Report Year to Date Ended 31 March 2026.....	31
2.5. T2600032 – Provision of Delivered Meals	35
2.6. Review of Instrument of Delegation - Council to Members of Council Staff ..	39
3. RECORD OF INFORMAL MEETINGS OF COUNCILLORS.....	43
4. CONFIDENTIAL.....	44
4.1. T2500044 – Municipal Waste Landfill Disposal (Confidential).....	44
5. CLOSE OF MEETING.....	45

Present: Cr S Kontelj
Cr R Nelson
Cr T Sullivan
Cr A Aitken
Cr E Wilkinson
Cr E Sinclair
Cr A Katos
Cr M Cadwell
Cr R Story
Cr C Burson

LOA: Cr E Kontelj

Also Present: A Wastie (Chief Executive Officer), T Edwards (Executive Director, Corporate Services), J Randles (Acting Executive Director, Growth & Place), J Stirton (Executive Director, City Infrastructure), A Basford (Executive Director City Life), A Martin (Chief Governance and Risk Officer), E Ryan (Senior Governance Advisor – Council Business) D Pangrazio (Governance Advisor – Council Business).

Opening: The Mayor declared the meeting open at 6.02pm.

1. PROCEDURAL MATTERS

1.1. Acknowledgement of Country

Council acknowledges the Wadawurrung People as the Traditional Owners of the Land, Waterways and Skies. We pay our respects to their Elders, past, present and emerging. We acknowledge all Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people who are part of our Greater Geelong community today.

1.2. Apologies

Nil

1.3. Leaves of Absence

RESOLUTION – Item 1.3.1

Cr E Sinclair moved, Cr R Story seconded -

That Leave of Absence be granted to Cr E Wilkinson from 1 July 2026 to 25 July 2026, inclusive.

Carried

1.4. Declarations of Conflicts of Interest

Nil

1.5. Confirmation of Minutes

RESOLUTION - Item 1.5.1

Cr R Nelson moved, Cr A Katos seconded -

That the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 28 April 2026 be confirmed.

Carried

1.6. Public Question and Submission Time

Question and Submission Time is an opportunity for questions to be addressed to Council and while the minutes record the general content, they do not purport to be a transcript of what was said by individuals. Likewise, Councillor or Officer verbal responses are in summary form only. Views expressed may not be the views of Council.

The following persons submitted questions prior to the Council Meeting and presented them at the Council Meeting, on the following subjects:

- Trish Gant - Geelong CBD & Council Question and Submission Time Policy
- Ann Daniell - Differential Rates for Retirement Villages
- Stephen Williams - Bellarine Rail Trail
- Mark Carper - Global Investment & The Arts

The following persons submitted questions prior to the Council Meeting but were not in attendance to present them at the Council Meeting, on the following subjects:

- Christopher Edge - Lipson Drive
- Julie Gardiner - Planning & Environmental Impacts
- Claire Shaw - Kardinia Aquatic Centre

SUBMITTER 1. CHRISTOPHER EDGE

Subject: Lipson Drive

Relevant City Department: City Infrastructure

Question 1

The community of Lipson Drive Lara would like Council to confirm what has changed with the emergency management practices that will now enable Council to respond the next emergency with the main Lipson Drive drain which has otherwise proven inaccessible to council in the railway reserve?

Response:

Thank you for your question Christopher.

The City understands the community's concerns regarding the management of the Lipson Drive drain.

This section of the drainage network is located within VicTrack managed land, where access constraints remain in place.

The City will continue to monitor conditions where access is permitted, undertake maintenance within its control, and respond to drainage incidents in line with its standard operational and emergency management frameworks.

In relation to emergency management practices, the City's approach remains unchanged in terms of its operational responsibilities and we will continue to:

- Monitor drainage conditions where access is permitted
- Undertake maintenance works within Council controlled land and assets
- Progress maintenance within the railway corridor where access approvals are obtained
- Respond to drainage incidents in accordance with Council's standard operational and emergency management frameworks

In emergency situations, the City will work with relevant external agencies, including VicTrack, to coordinate management. The ability to directly intervene within the railway reserve remains dependent on access approvals.

This area of the catchment is proactively maintained as part of an ongoing program, with a high level of service currently in place.

SUBMITTER 2. TRISH GANT

Subject: Geelong CBD & Council Question and Submission Time Policy

Relevant City Department: Growth & Place & Corporate Services

Question 1:

Moorabool Street currently presents as a degraded, asphalt dominated transport zone in the heart of Geelong's CBD. The road surface is heavily worn, patched and visually inconsistent due to constant heavy bus traffic, creating an environment that is uninviting for pedestrians and entirely out of character with a modern city centre.

My question is: why is Council spending money on an art installation, including one large expensive work in the middle of the road, and pulling up sections of the existing roadway, before addressing the fundamental condition of the area itself particularly when there has been little meaningful consultation with surrounding businesses or the wider public? (Which is not in line with your new community engagement policy) Wouldn't a more responsible priority be to first clean up and repair the CBD properly? Geelong currently has raised and damaged pavers, filthy laneways, widespread graffiti, inadequate public toilets, insufficient small public rubbish bins, and overflowing commercial bins.

The Moorabool Street bus interchange itself and shelters are damaged, visually unattractive, and no longer suitable as the centrepiece of our CBD. Shouldn't Council first focus on relocating and removing the interchange and the bus shelters and restoring Moorabool Street properly before investing in decorative treatments?

Response:

Thank you Trish for your question regarding the Moorabool Street median strip and lighting project.

As you note, Moorabool Street is a significant street in Central Geelong that is needing investment to improve the street experience and safety for pedestrians. These works will enhance the street environment, including upgraded lighting for night safety, renewal of the median strip, and supporting electrical infrastructure. The inclusion of artwork and lighting is part of an integrated approach to improve amenity.

Current data shows the road surface is within service limits and will continue to be maintained accordingly. The City will continue its routine servicing, including graffiti and waste management.

The bus interchange and services are managed by the State Government. Through the Geelong Bus Review, the City has recommended investigation of alternative locations. Any relocation would be a longer-term outcome, so current works focus on short term improvements for Moorabool Street that can be achieved with the existing bus stop in place.

Question 2:

My second question is more general and relates to the purpose and effectiveness of public question time at Council meetings. Over the last five years I have raised a number of issues during question time. In many cases the responses have been brief, dismissive, or there has been little visible follow up afterwards. I have also attended meetings where members of the public asking questions appeared to be met with eye rolling, sniggering or dismissive behaviour from councillors, which I believe is inappropriate in a public forum and discourages community participation. My concern is that residents take the time to prepare and raise serious issues affecting the city, yet there appears to be no structured process for follow up, feedback, or ongoing communication about whether these concerns will ever be considered further. So my question is: going forward, can Council explain why there is often no meaningful follow up or feedback provided to the public after serious concerns are raised during question time, and what steps will Council take to improve accountability, communication, and the way members of the public are treated when participating in Council meetings?

Response:

Thank you for your question regarding the purpose and effectiveness of Public Question Time.

Public Question Time is provided as an opportunity for members of the community to ask questions of Council. The process is governed by Council's Governance Rules, which outline how questions are submitted, considered and responded to. It is important to note that this forum is designed specifically for the asking and answering of questions, rather than as a mechanism for managing ongoing matters or complaints.

Council has established processes for follow-up, complaints and broader community engagement, which provide more appropriate avenues where detailed investigation, ongoing communication or formal feedback is required. If you feel that any of your previously submitted questions have not been adequately addressed, Council officers will be happy to contact you to discuss these further.

Where possible, questions are answered at the meeting. In some instances, where additional information is required or the matter is operational in nature, it may be referred to the relevant area for follow-up outside of the meeting. While not all matters raised through Public Question Time result in ongoing communication, Council officers do consider the issues raised and, where appropriate, use this information to inform service delivery and future work.

Council is committed to respectful and constructive engagement with the community. Council acknowledges the importance of transparency and community confidence in this process. We will continue to reinforce good practice in providing clear responses and direct community members to the most appropriate channels to ensure their matters are properly considered and addressed.

Cr E Wilkinson also provided a brief response to the question.

SUBMITTER 3. ANN DANIELL

Subject: Differential Rates for Retirement Villages

Relevant City Department: Corporate Services

Question 1:

My name is Ann Daniell and I reside at Geelong Grove Retirement Village. In recent times my Village has made a submission, with 145 signatures attached, to Geelong City Council for consideration of Differential Rates for Retirement Villages. You should be aware several other Retirement Villages have also petitioned the Council regarding the same subject. You should also be aware a number of people attended your last meeting to present submissions to Council. Council has responded to these various applications, letters, petitions and personal presentations. It has come to my attention that a generic letter has been sent to each and every entity with different dates, for example 13th, 20th, 30th April and 7th May, and with different signatories, for example Jennifer Blunt and Troy Edwards. My question is: Has Council given any serious consideration to these submissions?

Response:

Thank you for your question Mr's Daniell.

Over recent months, as Council has been preparing its budget, we have received both direct correspondence and petitions regarding differential rates, from both retirement villages and residents of retirement villages, including Geelong Grove.

Council will continue to consider all relevant information, including community feedback and these submissions, as part of its decision-making processes prior to the June Council Meeting.

At the June Council meeting on the 23rd of June, the 2026–27 Budget will be presented for consideration and adoption by Council and that will include Council's Rating and Revenue Strategy which will address questions of differential rates.

SUBMITTER 4. JULIE GARDINER

Subject: Planning & Environmental Impacts

Relevant City Department: Growth & Place

Question 1:

The Council didn't make mention of our own personal impact with the demolition of the house next door along with our immediate neighbours to the exposure of Asbestos.

How is the Council overseeing demolition of entire houses and taking into consideration dangerous airborne asbestos for surrounding neighbours.

Our family and the surrounding neighbours have now been exposed to asbestos. As the Council would no doubt be aware asbestos is dangerous when disturbed and is recommended that licensed professionals removed this substance.
Can this be tabled at the next meeting.

Response:

Thank you for the follow up question Julie. We appreciate you bringing this matter to Council's attention.

As far as responsibility for asbestos removal compliance as part of demolition works, this sits with WorkSafe Victoria. Any complaints or issues should be forwarded to WorkSafe. EPA Victoria regulates the transport, tracking, and lawful disposal of asbestos waste and its environmental impact.

SUBMITTER 5. STEPHEN WILLIAMS

Subject: Bellarine Rail Trail

Relevant City Department: City Infrastructure

Question 1:

I am a member of Better Streets Geelong. We are an Australia wide coalition of community members and organisations who want to make our streets better. In this we consider ourselves to be closely aligned with Council's interests – we aspire to a thriving Geelong; a safe and healthy and diverse city that celebrates its natural environment and knows how to have fun. We congratulate the Council on installing an elevated priority crossing of the Bellarine Rail Trail at Wilsons Road. Anecdotal feedback from walkers and cyclists is that it has led to a demonstrable change in driver behaviour and a significantly safer experience for all active transport users. Building on this we would urge the Council to install similar crossings on other intersections along the Rail Trail. This would provide an opportunity for the Bellarine Rail Trail to complement the broader, and excellent, Kids Active Travel Program which has provided a model for making our city safer, healthier, and more connected with the natural environment. Secondly, we urge the Council to undertake a program of planting endemic flora along the full length of the Bellarine Rail Trail creating a natural corridor that would be beautiful, a haven for endemic wildlife, an encouragement for healthy activity, a place for the community to enjoy, and a pathway that attracts tourists (similar to the success of riding and waking trails in the Warburton region), with options for local entrepreneurs to establish small businesses of all

Response:

The City acknowledges and appreciates the positive feedback regarding the elevated priority crossing at Wilsons Road, which was delivered with support from the Department of Transport and Planning's Black Spot Program. Early observations and anecdotal evidence indicate improved driver behaviour and enhanced safety for pedestrians and cyclists, supporting Council's commitment to active transport and safer road environments.

Requests for similar treatments along the Bellarine Rail Trail are assessed through the City's capital project prioritisation framework, ensuring limited funding is directed to projects that deliver the greatest safety, connectivity, and community benefit. At present, the City does not have additional rail trail crossing locations on its project register, however Council is willing to work with the community identify and assess additional opportunities. The City operates within constrained budgets and actively pursues external grant funding for projects of this nature, with community advocacy playing an important role.

The City welcomes input from community groups on specific sites for assessment. Requests can be submitted by contacting 5252 5272, where a Traffic Engineer will review locations for inclusion in future planning and prioritisation.

The City has also undertaken significant planting programs to beautify and strengthen the wildlife corridor since the Urban Forest Strategy.

The current draft Urban Forest Strategy is reviewing and mapping sites such as the Bellarine Rail Trail for inclusion in partnered planting programs. This work will mature once the Urban

Forest Strategy has been adopted and will identify the gaps that require planting to create a contiguous wildlife corridor.

SUBMITTER 6. CLAIRE SHAW**Subject: Kardinia Aquatic Centre****Relevant City Department: City Life****Question 1:**

can Council explain whether the financial assessment of Kardinia Aquatic Centre includes a full 12-month analysis incorporating summer revenue, peak seasonal attendance, and whole-of-network aquatic service delivery, or whether current operational decisions are being disproportionately influenced by winter operating costs alone based only on KAC and impacted by the Ledger Distortion with revenue tied to members point of purchase of their membership instead of the centre swam at potentially distorting and providing unreliable patron usage for specific sites. Example - patrons currently using KAC from Drysdale who have not purchased their membership at KAC sees the revenue tied to the other aquatic site. Given aquatic centres operate on seasonal demand cycles and function as part of an integrated municipal network rather than isolated commercial assets, how has Council assessed KAC's contribution to demand for geographic accessibility to KAC's 50 m lap pool, lap swimming capacity, community health outcomes, and peak demand management across the entire aquatic portfolio?

Response:

Hi Claire, thank you for your questions.

As previously informed, the financial assessment of Kardinia Aquatic Centre considers the facilities' financial position including seasonal revenue, detailed attendance data for whole of facility use and individual lane use, along with postcode analysis of user profiles. These data points are also considered for the consolidated Swim Sport and Leisure network.

Question2:

Question 2 - what assessment has Council undertaken regarding the operational and community impacts of reduced public swimming access hours at KAC, including overcrowding, reduced lane availability, swimmer safety concerns, and displacement of users to other facilities? Further, can Council clarify whether there is a long-term strategic intention to reduce services at KAC further and prior to completion of any transparent public review regarding the future role of the facility within Council's aquatic network?

Response:

During four days of the April school holiday period I acknowledge there was temporary disruption to public lane availability in the FINA 50m pool during the morning sessions due to increased swimming squad access. We recognise the impact this had on regular swimmers and in future we will ensure a more appropriate balance between group bookings and public access.

Recent lane usage analysis across April and May 2026 from 7.30am – 8.15am once the swimming squads have finished, shows an average number of swimmers per lane of 1.45 to 1.7 swimmers, which is considered low demand and does not compromise swimmer safety.

The future operation of Kardinia will be informed by user demand and council's annual budget process which includes transparent community consultation. There is no long term strategic intention to reduce services at Kardinia.

SUBMITTER 7. MARK CARPER

Subject: Global Investment & the Arts

Relevant City Department: Growth & Place

Submission:

I'm going to submit another statement on how we can improve our cities position for global investments by promoting civic pride and using the arts community.

Response (Mayor):

The Mayor acknowledged and thanked Mark for his submission.

2. REPORTS

2.1. Community Engagement Policy

Source: City Life
Executive Director: Anthony Basford

Purpose

1. To present the Community Engagement Policy 2026 – 2030 for Council adoption.

Background

2. Council is required to have a Community Engagement Policy under Section 55 of the Local Government Act 2020 (VIC), which must be adopted and maintained. The current policy, issued in March 2021, was scheduled for review from March 2025, in line with the four-year review cycle.
3. Beyond legislative requirements, the Community Engagement Policy formalises Council's commitment to understanding the diverse views, values and priorities of the community to ensure community voices meaningfully shape the decisions Council make.
4. This Community Engagement Policy builds on the policy adopted in 2021 and takes a step further in becoming a clearer, more accessible and community-facing document.
5. This policy has undergone two stages of community and employee engagement, including hearing from over 200 community members and key stakeholder groups.
6. Over the past 18 months, Council has been briefed on the Community Engagement Policy through Councillor briefings, a strategic discussion and at formal Council meetings, over the period from February 2025, November 2025 to March 2026.
7. The first stage of community engagement occurred between January and August 2025 (including a formal engagement period from 16 June to 3 August 2025). More than 200 people provided feedback, which helped shape a revised version of the policy.
8. The second stage of community engagement was conducted from 1 December 2025 to 27 January 2026 and sought to 'check in' on whether updates made to the policy reflected what was heard during the first stage of engagement.

Key Matters

9. The first stage of community engagement occurred between January and August 2025 (including a formal engagement period from 16 June to 3 August 2025). More than 200 people provided feedback, which helped shape a revised version of the policy.
10. The revised policy was shared for a second round of community engagement from 1 December 2025 to 27 January 2026. Feedback indicated overall support for the policy and for the five revised community engagement principles within the policy.

11. Insights gathered during the first stage of community engagement shaped the most notable changes to the policy, which strengthen the commitment to inclusive and transparent engagement. It introduced clearer mechanisms for reporting back and broadening participation opportunities. The most significant changes related to:
 - 11.1. 'Purpose' - connects the Community Engagement Policy to the Council Plan 2025-29 and articulates more clearly Council's commitment to best-practice community engagement.
 - 11.2. 'Understanding our Community' is included as a new section to better highlight the diversity of the community.
 - 11.3. Definitions - the use of 'participatory engagement' has been removed and instead 'engagement' and 'deliberative engagement' are referred to because the community asked that plain English is used and this terminology is not well understood.
 - 11.4. 'How Decisions are Made' is included as a new section to articulate that community engagement is one of many factors to be considered when making decisions.
 - 11.5. 'How we will Engage' - International Association of Public Participation (IAP2) Spectrum of Engagement has been added based on best practice and councillor and community feedback.
 - 11.6. 'Community Engagement Principles' – a formal, minimum requirement to engage for four weeks.
12. The revised policy was presented to Council on 25 November 2025 and was endorsed for a second and final stage of community engagement.
13. The second stage of community engagement ran from 1 December 2025 to 27 January 2026. It sought to 'check in' on whether the revised policy adequately captured what was heard from the community and key stakeholders, including the Barwon Network of Neighbourhood Houses, Cultura and the Wadawurrung Traditional Owners Aboriginal Corporation. Additionally, an employee workshop was held and attended by 18 officers from across the City.
14. The community engagement process indicated overall support for the latest version of the Community Engagement Policy. As a result of community, councillor and employee feedback, further minor changes have been made to the policy including:
 - 14.1. Referencing additional relevant strategies and legislation.
15. Expanding the 'How we will Report on Engagement' section, including referencing the use of automated tools and the commitment to ensuring accuracy.
 - 15.1. Several additions to the 'How we will engage' section, including referencing the promotion of engagement opportunities on digital channels, leveraging community organisation partnerships to hear from the under-represented, and a commitment to safe, welcoming and respectful engagement spaces online and in-person.
 - 15.2. A new paragraph strengthening commitment to genuine community engagement.

- 15.3. Adding a reference to incentives and stipends to encourage participation.
- 15.4. Adding 'research and data' as an additional input into decision making.
- 15.5. Providing clarity around the process for determining when the City won't engage.
- 16. Additional references to how the City can remove barriers to participation.
 - 16.1. Referencing deliberative engagement opportunities will be broadly representative of the Greater Geelong community.

RESOLUTION - Item 2.1

Cr E Sinclair moved, Cr E Wilkinson seconded -

That Council:

- 1. Notes the amendments to the Community Engagement Policy outlined in this report;**
- 2. Adopts the Community Engagement Policy 2026 – 2030 as at attachment 1; and**
- 3. Thanks the community and employees for their input and feedback during the engagement phases of the Policy development.**

Carried

Financial Sustainability

17. To ensure the City delivers on policy commitments and community expectations for meaningful and quality engagement, officers review engagement resources required annually through the budget process, and other consultation processes that may impact council's financial settings.

Community Engagement

18. This policy has been developed following two stages of community engagement with Councillors, community, employees and stakeholders.
19. The community engagement report for the second stage of community engagement is attached (attachment 2).

Social Equity and Sustainability

20. The policy recognises the importance of equity, access and inclusion as a key priority to best practice engagement. Reference was made to the City's Social Equity Framework.
21. The Community Engagement Policy recognises priority populations and commits to a community engagement approach that removes barriers and actively supports people to participate.

Gender Equality – Gender+ Impact Assessment

22. A Gender+ Impact Assessment was undertaken as part of the review process.
23. The Gender+ Impact Assessment included a series of recommendations for inclusion in the Policy, such as incorporating diverse population imagery, using gender-inclusive language, providing childcare and other supports to remove barriers to participation in engagement activities, and tailoring engagements to specific community needs.

Relevant Law/Policy/Legal Implications

24. The development of Council's Community Engagement Policy adheres to the legislated requirements set out in the Local Government Act (Vic) 2020.
25. The advice and guidance of the City's Legal department was sought and incorporated in the Policy.
26. Community engagement is an essential part of good governance. It supports the community to consider, respond and to participate in decision making.

Alignment to Council Plan and Vision

27. This report aligns with the Council Plan 2025-29 strategic priority:
28. Healthy and Caring Community and Governance and Integrity
29. This report aligns with the Community led 30-year Vision, "Greater Geelong: A Clever and Creative Future" community aspiration:

An inclusive, diverse, healthy and socially connected community.

Conflict of Interest

30. No officer involved in the preparation of this report declared a general or material conflict of interest.

Risk Assessment and Risk Appetite Statement

31. Community engagement is directly linked and impacts the City's reputation and trust with the people who live, work and invest in Greater Geelong.
32. Council aims to positively engage with the community by fostering an inclusive and safe environment that recognises the diverse needs and expectations of individuals and groups. In this context, Council has adopted a balanced risk appetite.
33. This report supports equitable and inclusive community outcomes by amplifying the voices of people across the municipality and identifying opportunities to enhance service experiences and strengthen inclusion and belonging.

Environmental Sustainability

34. There are no environmental implications.

Attachments

1. Community Engagement Policy 2026 2030 [2.1.1 - 20 pages]
2. Community Engagement Policy - Engagement Summary Report FEB 2026 [2.1.2 - 9 pages]

2.2. Proposed Sale of Land - 11A McHarry Court, Corio

Source: Office of The Chief Executive
Chief Executive Officer: Ali Wastie

Purpose

1. To provide Council with the outcome of community engagement on the proposed sale of land at 11A McHarry Court, Corio and seek approval for the sale of the land, shown as **Parcel 2 in Attachment 1 site plan**.

Background

2. The City owned several adjoining land parcels which previously formed Connections Park off McHarry Court, Corio. The parkland was made up of Connections Park East, Connections Park West and a narrow strip of land adjoining the two areas.
3. The parkland was poorly situated, suffering from a lack of passive surveillance which raised safety concerns and the land had no direct vehicle access. In 2014-15 the Council initiated the rezoning of Connections Park East and the connecting land from PPRZ to General Residential GRZ1.
4. The long-term strategy was to enable redevelopment of rezoned land for residential use complementing other renewal projects bringing significant benefits for the local community. The Norlane Corio Restructure Plan identified objectives such as maintaining pedestrian connections and establishing a road frontage for the remaining parkland and the Rosewall Community Centre, which was achieved in the development of the neighbouring former school site.
5. Following comprehensive internal and community engagement, the Council resolved to sell the section land known as Connections Park East at its meeting on 15 December 2020. **Parcel 1 in Attachment 1 site plan**. Parcel 2 was not included at that time as it was within a separate larger parcel of land.
6. Connections Park West (now known as Rosewall Park) will be retained and in accordance with the Council resolution, community engagement was completed in 2025 to understand the community's aspirations for the future of the park. The feedback gathered will help inform a concept plan for future upgrade works.

Key Matters

7. We propose to sell a remaining parcel with an area of 1,588m² at 11A McHarry Court, Corio being certificate of title volume 1258 folio 450, Lot B PS920591, which is currently landlocked. **Parcel 2 in Attachment 1**.
8. When the Council rezoned the land to residential use, the intention was specifically to improve Council's ability to attract investment in a challenging urban renewal precinct that would also see the redevelopment of the adjacent former Rosewall Primary School land. While the site was not designated for rezoning in the Corio Norlane Structure Plan, the proposal was supported by Clause 21.18 *Corio Norlane* policy objectives to increase the local population, diversify housing types and support opportunities for renewal.

9. The option of leasing the land to a Registered Housing Association for the development of social housing was assessed at a low to medium rating.
10. As the land is isolated with only existing pedestrian access, it will be difficult to attract Registered Housing Associations as:
 - 10.1. To provide vehicular access to the site, an adjacent property will need to be purchased. All existing access points are for pedestrian only. This would add to the cost of development and impact the feasibility of the project;
 - 10.2. Sites without direct and clear access usually will not be funded for social and affordable housing, from both HAFF (Federal) or SHGF (State) funding streams;
 - 10.3. The site will need an internal road to access any proposed dwelling which will further reduce the development yield that is already affected by the irregularity of the site.
11. It is proposed that Parcels 1 and 2 should be sold together. Parcel 2 is landlocked, while Parcel 1 had several narrow street frontages which provide opportunity for resolving vehicle access with the acquisition of an abutting property. Selling the two lots together will enhance the land value, the development potential and opportunities to resolve vehicle access.
12. The option of selling the land at 11A McHarry Court, Corio has now been referred to community engagement which was undertaken in March and April 2026.
13. Three submissions were received in response to the proposed sale of the land.

	Summary of submission	Officers' response
1.	The sale of this land for additional housing appears to a sensible decision.	This aligns with the Officers' recommendation.
2.	Proposed that the land could be used for greenspace on activation in line with the draft Plan for Nature, providing longer term value.	The rezoning of the land was initiated by the Council specifically to improve Council's ability to attract investment in a challenging urban renewal precinct, supporting the Corio Norlane Structure Plan's policy. With objectives to increase the local population, diversify housing types and support opportunities for renewal.
3.	Questioned why there was not elaboration on the sentence: <i>"Development plans will include maintaining pedestrian access to the adjoining residential estates and the nearby Rosewall Community Centre and Connections Park West."</i>	<p>The purchaser/developer will have a requirement to design the residential subdivision to include pedestrian access.</p> <p>The details to address the requirement will be included when the development is designed by the purchaser/developer. Therefore, it cannot be elaborated on at this time.</p>

RESOLUTION - Item 2.2

Cr A Aitken moved, Cr C Burson seconded -

That Council:

- 1. Notes the outcomes of community engagement for the proposed sale;**
- 2. Approves the sale of 11A McHarry Court, Corio being certificate of title volume 1258 folio 450, Lot B PS920591; and**
- 3. Authorises the Chief Executive Officer or delegate to sign documents to complete the sale of the land.**

Carried

Financial Sustainability

14. The sale of the property supports financial sustainability by disposing of a surplus property and contributing to capital income for Property Sales.
15. A current market valuation will be obtained prior to the sale to comply with section 114, *Local Government Act 2020* and inform a sale price. The land will be sold together with the adjoining vacant land to maximise its value.
16. Costs associated with the sale such as marketing and legal fees will be offset by the sales income.
17. Any retention of this asset or change to current use or operation of this land has not been budgeted for and will result in an impact to the 2026/27 budget.

Community Engagement

18. Community engagement was undertaken from 13 March until 12 April 2026 on the proposal to sell the land.
19. Submissions were invited and three submissions received. The full submissions are provided to Councillors. A summary and officers' response have been included in the Key Matters of the report.
20. The City completed extensive engagement on the community's aspiration for the Rosewall Park engagement and will begin development of an initial concept plan. Once a concept plan is developed, it will be made available for community review via a second round of engagement to ensure it aligns with Community priorities and responds appropriately to the feedback heard through stage 1 engagement.

Social Equity and Sustainability

21. The land has been assessed for suitability for social housing in accordance with the City's Social Housing Plan. The option of leasing the land to a Registered Housing Association for the development of social housing was assessed at a low to medium rating.
22. As the land is isolated with only existing pedestrian access, it will be difficult to attract Registered Housing Associations as:
23. To provide vehicular access to the site, an adjacent property will need to be purchased. All existing access points are for pedestrian only. This would add to the cost of development and impact the feasibility of the project;
24. Sites without direct and clear access usually will not be funded for social and affordable housing, from both HAFF (Federal) or SHGF (State) funding streams;
25. The site will need an internal road to access any proposed dwelling which will further reduce the development yield that is already affected by the irregularity of the site.

Gender Equality – Gender+ Impact Assessment

26. An assessment was not completed on the proposed sale of the land as it does not relate to the criteria of when an assessment is required, being an existing or developing service, program or policy.

Relevant Law/Policy/Legal Implications

27. The Council must comply with section 114, *Local Government Act 2020* before dealing with the land.
28. While zoned General Residential 1, the property was previously a public open space reserve. Section 24A (8) of the *Subdivision Act 1988* sets out the requirements for applying the proceeds from the sale.

Alignment to Council Plan and Vision

29. This report aligns with the Council Plan 2025-29 strategic priority:
Economic Development
30. This report aligns with the Community led 30-year Vision, “Greater Geelong: A Clever and Creative Future” community aspiration:
Development and implementation of sustainable solutions.

Conflict of Interest

31. No officer involved in the preparation of this report declared a general or material conflict of interest.

Risk Assessment and Risk Appetite Statement

32. The proposed sale of land aligns with Council’s Risk Appetite Statement by enabling efficient land use through a compliant, transparent statutory process, while maintaining low financial, operational and community risk and strong governance controls. The level of community objection is low and has been properly tested, aligning with Council’s balanced community appetite and cautious appetite for transparent decision-making.

Environmental Sustainability

33. There are no environmental issues identified with selling the property. The planning permit process will consider environmental aspects of any proposed development.

Attachments

1. SITE PLAN 11A McHARRY CRT CORIO [2.2.1 - 1 page]

2.3. Proposed carriageway easement at 43-45 Fenwick St, Portarlington

Source: Office of the Chief Executive
Chief Executive Officer: Ali Wastie

Purpose

1. To provide Council with the outcome of community engagement on the proposal to grant a carriageway easement across the City’s land at 43-45 Fenwick St, Portarlington and seek approval for the creation of the easement, shown in blue in Attachment 1.

Background

2. The City has been approached from the landowner of 62 Newcombe St, Portarlington, wanting to acquire a carriageway easement over City owned land at 43-45 Fenwick Street, Portarlington.
3. The dominant land being privately owned and shown in Attachment 2 requires a carriageway easement across City owned land, known as the servient land to access the rear of the private land.
4. The proposed carriageway easement to be registered over a land area of 225m2, subject to survey.
5. There are existing carriageway easements on the City’s land benefiting other properties in Newcombe Street that have been acquired over time and costs for these easements covered by the requesting party.
6. The City can confirm there will be no loss of car spaces or changes to public access in the existing public car park.

Key Matters

7. Community engagement on the proposal to grant a carriageway easement over the land at 43-45 Fenwick Street, Portarlington was undertaken from 20 March until 19 April 2026 with six submissions received.

Summary of submission	Officer’s response
One submission supported the proposed easement.	This aligns with the Officer’s recommendation
Five submitters expressed concern that the easement would impact public access and use and carpark availability within the easement area.	There will be no physical changes to the car park, no reduction in car park numbers or impact on public access as a result of granting the easement.

8. If the carriageway easement is supported, the City will receive payment for the interest in the land being acquired, which will be determined by a market valuation of the easement.

RESOLUTION - Item 2.3

Cr R Story moved, Cr T Sullivan seconded -

That Council:

- 1. Notes the outcomes of community engagement on the proposal to grant a carriageway easement over the land at 43-45 Fenwick St, Portarlington;**
- 2. Approves the creation of the carriageway easement to be registered on the property title being volume 9366 folio 584, to benefit the property at 62 Newcombe St, Portarlington;**
- 3. Authorises the Chief Executive Officer or delegate to sign documents to complete the registration of the easement.**

Carried

Financial Sustainability

9. The City will receive payment from the property owner acquiring the easement. This will be established by a market valuation of the easement.
10. The City will be reimbursed for all legal costs and other costs incurred for the acquisition of the carriageway easement by the owner of 62 Newcombe Street, Portarlington.

Community Engagement

11. Community engagement was undertaken on the City's Have Your Say page in line with Section 114 of the *Local Government Act 2020* and the City's Community Engagement Policy on the proposal to grant a carriageway easement over City owned land.
12. Submissions were invited and six submissions received. The full submissions are provided to Councillors. A summary of the submission themes and the officers' response is included in the Key Matters of this report.
13. City officers have endeavoured to contact concerned submitters and provided additional information to most. After clarification that there will be no loss of car parks or access, each person expressed that their concerns were alleviated.

Social Equity and Sustainability

14. There are no adverse social equity issues created because of this proposed carriageway easement.

Gender Equality – Gender+ Impact Assessment

15. An assessment was not completed on the proposed carriageway easement as it does not relate to the criteria of when an assessment is required, being an existing or developing service, program or policy.

Relevant Law/Policy/Legal Implications

16. Section 114 of the *Local Government Act 2020* applies to the granting of an easement, in the same manner as if it were a sale of land.

Alignment to Community Plan and Vision

17. This report aligns with the Council Plan 2025-29 strategic priority:
Governance and Integrity
18. This report aligns with the Community led 30-year Vision, "Greater Geelong: A Clever and Creative Future" community aspiration:
Development and implementation of sustainable solutions.

Conflict of Interest

19. No officer involved in the preparation of this report declared a general or material conflict of interest.

Risk Assessment and Risk Appetite Statement

20. The proposed carriageway easement aligns with Council's Risk Appetite Statement by enabling efficient land use through a compliant, transparent statutory process, while maintaining low financial, operational and community risk and strong governance controls. The proposal does not materially reduce public access or service delivery. The level of community impact and objection is low and has been properly tested, aligning with Council's balanced community appetite and cautious appetite for transparent decision-making.

Environmental Sustainability

21. There are no environmental sustainability implications associated with this report.

Attachments

1. Aerial - 43-45 Fenwick St, Portalington [2.3.1 - 1 page]
2. 43-45 Fenwick St, Portalington [2.3.2 - 1 page]

2.4. Financial Performance Report Year to Date Ended 31 March 2026

Source: Corporate Services
Executive Director: Troy Edwards

Purpose

1. To endorse the Quarterly Financial Performance Report as at 31 March 2026.

Background

2. On 24 June 2025 the 2025-26 budget was adopted with an underlying operating surplus of \$0.5 million and a cash surplus for the year of \$3.8 million.
3. This budgeted result was underpinned by an operating efficiency target of \$16.6 million. Following adoption, a detailed efficiency program identified specific actions to achieve this target, replacing broad-based assumptions with targeted measures across departments.
4. The Income Statement Converted to Cash is used as a key financial measure as it reflects actual cash generation and funding capacity, supporting informed decision-making and assessment of our long-term financial sustainability.
5. Financial performance reports are presented to Council on a quarterly basis, with this report representing quarter 3 for the 2025-26 financial year (FY26).
6. Further to the performance, the report provides the forward full year forecast for FY26.

Key Matters

7. The third quarter financial review has resulted in a full year forecast underlying operating deficit of \$13.8 million for 2025-26 which is \$14.3 million unfavourable to budget. This has been driven by the following material updates to forecast:
 - 7.1. The early receipt in June 2025 (FY25) of 50% of the FY26 Financial Assistance Grant has created a timing variance that adversely impacts the FY26 operating position by \$14.8 million.
 - 7.2. Upward asset revaluations at the FY25 year-end have increased the depreciation forecast by \$5.8 million, resulting in a material unfavourable variance to budget.
 - 7.3. Fees and charges are forecast \$5.8 million below budget, driven by the North Geelong Transfer Station closure, a decline in casual parking revenue and lower Statutory and Development Planning Fees.
 - 7.4. Offset by Materials and Services forecast to be \$12.4 million favourable to budget due to underspend and savings across the organisation.

8. The third quarter financial review has resulted in a full year forecast cash surplus for 2025-26 of \$2.9 million which is \$0.9 million unfavourable to budget. This has been driven by the following material updates to forecast:
 - 8.1. Proceeds from budgeted property sales of \$16.3 million didn't initially include the corresponding transfer to reserves for the future repayment of borrowings. This has been corrected in the Full Year Forecast, resulting in a reduction in the forecast cash result.
 - 8.2. Net cash items in the Operating Statement were \$7.8 million favourable to budget, driven primarily by a \$12.4 million underspend in materials and services, partially offset by fees and charges that are forecast \$5.8 million below budget.
 - 8.3. The capital program is forecast to underspend by \$9.4 million, primarily due to unused contingency, savings achieved through competitive tendering, and underspends across several annual programs. Underspends arising from project delays within the broader capital program have been carried forward to FY27 and do not impact the cash surplus.
9. Capital works expenditure to 31 March 2026 is \$17.9 million favourable to the year-to-date budget primarily driven by timing variances and forecast underspends. A summary of the year-to-date variances is provided in the attachment.
10. The full year forecast capital works expenditure is \$147.2 million which is \$57.1 million below the full year budget. The variance is driven by savings, annual program underspends and project re-phasing to 2026-27.
11. The forecast cash and investment balance at year-end is \$248.2 million which is \$59.2 million favourable to the full year budget. The key drivers of this favourable variance are:
 - 11.1. A higher than budgeted opening cash balance of \$17.4 million
 - 11.2. A reduced forecast for the capital program of \$57.1 million
 - 11.3. Savings and underspends in materials and services of \$12.3 million
 - 11.4. This is partly offset by lower-than-expected developer contributions (\$14.8 million) and fees and charges income (\$5.8 million).

RESOLUTION - Item 2.4

Cr A Katos moved, Cr A Aitken seconded -

That Council endorses the Quarterly Financial Performance Report for the period ended 31 March 2026.

Carried

Financial Sustainability

12. As detailed in the attached Quarterly Financial Performance Report as at 31 March 2026.

Community Engagement

13. Community engagement was undertaken during the development of the 2025-26 budget. Internal consultation has occurred with managers and project managers during the development of the full year forecast presented in this report.

Social Equity and Sustainability

14. Income and expenditure of the City's social equity programs are included in the attached Quarterly Financial Performance Report as at 31 March 2026.

Gender Equality – Gender+ Impact Assessment

15. A Gender+ Impact Assessment was not required for this report.

Relevant Law/Policy/Legal Implications

16. The report is provided in accordance with the requirements under section 97 of the *Local Government Act 2020*.

Alignment to Community Plan and Vision

17. This report aligns with the Council Plan 2025-29 strategic priority:
Governance and Integrity
18. This report aligns with the Community led 30-year Vision, "Greater Geelong: A Clever and Creative Future" community aspiration:
A prosperous economy that supports jobs and education opportunities.
Sustainable development that supports population growth and protects the natural environment.

Conflict of Interest

19. No officer involved in the preparation of this report declared a general or material conflict of interest.

Risk Assessment and Risk Appetite Statement

20. Council's current financial risk appetite is cautious, and the financial information presented in the Quarterly Financial Performance Report as at 31 March 2026 does not introduce any new or increased financial risks. The data reflects Council's approved financial settings and confirms Council's short and long-term financial position remains consistent with the adopted budget, long-term financial planning assumptions, and funding strategies. The report does not create or give rise to additional structural budget pressures, unfunded liabilities, or adverse impacts on Council's long-term financial sustainability and remains firmly within Council's stated financial risk appetite.

Environmental Sustainability

21. Income, expenditure and investments focus on the preservation and enhancement of the City's commitment to environmental strategies and targets.

Attachments

1. Financial Performance Report Year to Date Ended 31 March 2026 [2.4.1 - 26 pages]

2.5. T2600032 – Provision of Delivered Meals

Source: Corporate Services
Executive Director: Troy Edwards

Purpose

1. To award a contract for the Provision of Delivered Meals to the preferred tenderer as detailed in Clause 3 of the Confidential Procurement Evaluation Report at **Attachment 1**.

Background

2. The City of Greater Geelong (**City**) requires a contractor to supply meals for its delivered meals service.
3. The contractor will be responsible for all aspects of the service, including menu planning, meal preparation, production, packaging, labelling, packing, and distribution of meals.

CONFIDENTIALITY

4. Confidential information is contained in Attachment 1 as circulated in the confidential section of the report attachments. The information in the Attachment is confidential information for the purposes of 66(2)(a) and the definition of “confidential information” in section 3(1) of the *Local Government Act 2020* because it is council business information, being information that would prejudice the Council’s position in commercial negotiations if prematurely released (section 3(1)(a)).

Key Matters

5. The Procurement and Contracts Team administered an open tender process on behalf of the Community Care Department.
6. Details of the procurement process, including the evaluation methodology and the preferred tenderer, are outlined in the Confidential Procurement Evaluation Report at **Attachment 1**.

RESOLUTION - Item 2.5

Cr M Cadwell moved, Cr E Sinclair seconded -

That Council:

- 1. Awards the contract for T2600032 - Provision of Delivered Meals to the preferred tenderer as detailed in Clause 3 of the Confidential Procurement Evaluation Report, based on the tendered schedule of rates and otherwise in accordance with the negotiated terms and conditions of the contract;**
- 2. Approves the contract term of two years, with the option to extend the contract for an additional term of one year;**
- 3. Authorises the Chief Executive Officer to execute the Contract for T2600032 and any other documents required by or to give effect to the terms of the Contract on behalf of Council;**
- 4. Authorises the Chief Executive Officer to release the name of the awarded tenderer following the execution of the Contract by the relevant parties; and**
- 5. Resolves that the information contained in Attachment 1 of the report from management is to remain confidential pursuant to section 3(1) of the *Local Government Act 2020* because it contains Council business information being information that would prejudice the Council's position in commercial negotiations. This section is applicable because of the ongoing contract negotiations with the preferred tenderer.**

Carried

Financial Sustainability

7. The estimated cost of delivering these services over the full contract term, including extension options, will be managed within current and future approved budgets.

Community Engagement

8. There was no community engagement on this tender as it is part of the City's regular operations to fulfil its legislative and management obligations to residents and ratepayers.

Collaboration Opportunities

9. No tender collaboration opportunities were identified at this time however opportunities may present themselves in the future to be considered for new contracts.

Social Equity and Sustainability

10. The procurement supports social equity by ensuring clients receive nutritious meals at home, promoting health and independence.

Gender Equality – Gender+ Impact Assessment

11. A Gender Impact Assessment was not required for this report.

Relevant Law/Policy/Legal Implications

12. This tender was advertised and managed in accordance with the requirements of sections 108 and 109 of the *Local Government Act 2020* and in accordance with the City's Procurement Policy.

Alignment to Community Plan and Vision

13. This report aligns with Our Community Plan 2021-2025 strategic priority: Healthy and Caring Community.
14. This report aligns with the Community led 30-year Vision, "Greater Geelong: A Clever and Creative Future" community aspiration: An inclusive, diverse, healthy and socially connected community.

Conflict of Interest

15. No officer involved in the preparation of this report declared a general or material conflict of interest.

Risk Assessment and Risk Appetite Statement

16. The City has an adverse appetite for risks that may compromise health, safety and wellbeing, and a balanced appetite for service delivery risks. The provision of delivered meals supports positive health and wellbeing outcomes for vulnerable community members and must meet all relevant food safety, occupational health and safety and regulatory requirements.
17. This recommendation aligns with the City's risk appetite as the evaluation process confirmed the tenderer's capability to safely and reliably deliver the service, including appropriate occupational health and safety systems, food safety controls and operational capacity.

Environmental Sustainability

18. Any environmental implications associated with this contract will arise from the execution of the services. It is considered these are the responsibility of the contractor and will be managed through the contract documentation.

Attachments

1. CONFIDENTIAL - T 2600032 - Tender Evaluation Report [2.5.1 - 22 pages]

2.6. Review of Instrument of Delegation - Council to Members of Council Staff

Source: Corporate Services
Executive Director: Troy Edwards

Purpose

1. To review and update the Greater Geelong City Council (Council) Instrument of Delegation for Council to members of Council staff.

Background

2. The *Local Government Act 2020* (the Act) provides for the delegation of Council's powers, duties and functions under that Act or any other Act.
3. Council last reviewed the delegation to members of Council staff on 10 December 2024.
4. Council subscribes to Maddocks Lawyers delegations and authorisation service which provides six-monthly updates ensuring changes to legislation are captured.

Key Matters

5. It is important to ensure that Council has properly delegated powers, duties and functions, in order to avoid any issues arising regarding the legality of a decision or an action purportedly made or taken on behalf of the Council.
6. The powers conferred on the Council under some legislative instruments cannot be delegated through the CEO and must be delegated by resolution directly to members of Council staff.
7. The schedule to the instrument of delegation Council to members of Council staff (**Attachment 1**) has been updated to include:
 - 7.1. Absorbing the amendments which were implemented late last year and were endorsed by Council in the S6A Instrument in January 2026, which was introduced to address specific changes to the Planning and Environment Act 1987. By absorbing these changes into the S6, the S6A Instrument is no longer required.
 - 7.2. Updates to position titles and role responsibilities arising from operational changes within the business unit.

RESOLUTION - Item 2.6

Cr R Nelson moved, Cr C Burson seconded -

- 1. In the exercise of the powers conferred by the legislation referred to in the attached Instrument of Delegation, the Greater Geelong City Council (Council) resolves that:**
 - 1.1. There be delegated to the members of Council staff holding, acting in or performing the duties of the offices or positions referred to in the attached Instrument of Delegation to members of Council staff, the powers, duties and functions set out in that instrument, subject to the conditions and limitations specified in that Instrument (Attachment 1);**
 - 1.2. The Instrument comes into force immediately upon the resolution of Council;**
 - 1.3. The Instrument be signed by the Chief Executive Officer on behalf of Council;**
 - 1.4. On the coming into force of the instrument all previous delegations from Council to members of Council staff (other than the Chief Executive Officer) are revoked; and**
 - 1.5. The duties and functions set out in the Instrument must be performed, and the powers set out in the instruments must be executed, in accordance with any guidelines or policies of Council that it may from time to time adopt.**

Carried

Financial Sustainability

8. There is no financial sustainability implications associated with this report.

Community Engagement

9. The attached Instrument has been prepared in consultation with relevant Council officers.

Social Equity and Sustainability

10. There are no social equity or sustainability issues arising from the subject of this report.

Gender Equality – Gender+ Impact Assessment

11. A Gender Impact Assessment (GIA) was not undertaken for this report as it does not meet the requirements of the Gender Equality Act 2020. The report does not involve new or reviewed policies, programs or services.

Relevant Law/Policy/Legal Implications

12. The powers conferred on the Council under some legislative instruments cannot be delegated through the CEO and must be delegated by resolution directly to Council officers.
13. The Acts and Regulations referred to in Attachment 1 are among those which require direct delegation.

Alignment to Council Plan and Vision

14. This report aligns with the Council Plan 2025-2029 strategic priority:
Governance and Integrity

Conflict of Interest

15. No officer involved in the preparation of this report declared a general or material conflict of interest.

Risk Assessment and Risk Appetite Statement

16. Failure by Councillors to appropriately delegate operational matters to staff blurs governance boundaries, increasing the risk of improper influence, inefficiency, and decisions being made outside established administrative processes. It also undermines accountability and organisational integrity, as roles and responsibilities become unclear, exposing Council to governance, legal, and reputational risk.
17. Regularly reviewing and updating delegations aligns with Council's cautious appetite to managing regulatory, legal, and governance risks.

Environmental Sustainability

18. There is no environmental sustainability implications identified for the subject of this report.

Attachments

1. S6 Instrument of Delegation Council to Members of Council Staff [2.6.1 - 159 pages]

3. RECORD OF INFORMAL MEETINGS OF COUNCILLORS

Source: Corporate Services
Executive Director: Troy Edwards

Summary

1. The Governance Rules of the City of Greater Geelong require the tabling of records of informal meetings of Councillors at the next convenient Council meeting and that their record be included in the minutes of that Council meeting.
2. A summary of the informal meetings of Councillors is attached.

RESOLUTION - Item 3.1

Cr R Nelson moved, Cr C Burson seconded -

That Council receive the summary of the informal meetings of Councillors for the period to 26 May 2026.

Carried

4. CONFIDENTIAL

Council will close the meeting to the public in accordance with the provision of section 66(2) of the *Local Government Act 2020* to consider the following list of items.

4.1. T2500044 – Municipal Waste Landfill Disposal (Confidential)

CONFIDENTIAL

RESOLUTION - Item 4.1

Cr A Katos moved, Cr T Sullivan seconded -

That Council consider this report at the conclusion of the meeting as it is designated confidential by the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to sections 3(1) and 66 of the Local Government Act 2020, the information contained in this report is confidential because it contains Council business information being information that would prejudice the Council's position in commercial negotiations. This section is applicable because it seeks to award a contract.

Carried

5. CLOSE OF MEETING

The meeting was closed to the public at 7.00pm.

RESOLUTION

Cr An Katos moved, Cr T Sullivan seconded -

That the meeting be opened to the public.

Carried.

As there was no further business the meeting closed at 7.07pm on Tuesday 26 May 2026.

Signed: _____

Cr Stretch Kontelj (Mayor)

Date: _____